

IN THE DRAWINGS

Enclosed herewith is a REPLACEMENT SHEET in which the changes proposed in Applicants' Amendment filed September 9, 2002, have been incorporated.

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 1-8 have been canceled, claim 12 has been made a proper independent claim and includes the limitations of canceled claim 1, and claims 10, 11 and 13 have been amended to now depend from claim 12.

Applicants note that the BPAI reversed the Examiner with regard to the rejection of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraph 1, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Since the invention as claimed in claim 12 has not been rejected under any other basis, Applicants presume that claim 12 should now be allowed. Further, since claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 now depend (either directly or indirectly) from claim 12, Applicants believe that these claims should also be allowed.

Applicant believes that this application, containing claims 10-14, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611